



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,093	06/21/2001	John S. Judge	Q01-1019-US1	6483
75	590 11/02/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert A Saltzberg			CASTRO, ANGEL A	
Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94105			2653	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	, Application 116.	Apprount(s)				
Office Astion Commence	09/888,093	JUDGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angel A. Castro	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	dv 2005					
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>,</i>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
diosed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,12,16-19,34,51,52,66-94 and 101-122</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 66-93 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,12,16-19,34,51,52 and 101-122</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		7.00.01.01.101.11.1.10.102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
occ and attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,093

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed 7/8/05.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10, 51-52 and 94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo (U.S. Pat. 6,254,966).

Regarding claims 1 and 94, Kondo discloses an optical recording article for use in an information storage system (figure 11 or 24) comprising:

a substrate 1B;

a magneto-optic recording material 5b1, 2, 3; and

a reflective material 5b4 between the substrate and the magneto-optic recording material.

Regarding claim 2, Kondo discloses that the magneto-optic recording material consist of a single alloy of two or more metals (see figure 24).

Regarding claim 3, Kondo discloses that the article comprises a flexible article (a tape).

Regarding claim 4, Kondo discloses that the magneto-optic recording material is sputter deposited (column 19, lines 40-41).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 5, Kondo discloses that the substrate comprises a polymer (column 12, lines 39-45).

Regarding claim 10, Kondo discloses that the magneto-optic material comprises Terbium, Iron, Cobalt and Chromium (column 11, line 35).

Regarding claims 51 and 52, Kondo discloses that the reflective layer comprises aluminum and titanium (column 12, lines 62-65).

# Specification

3. The amendment filed 7/8/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

"[0048.1] Layers 320 and 340 are sometimes referred to herein as dielectric layers."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 101-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In the new claims, the limitations dealing with first and second dielectric layer broaden the scope of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6, 12, 16-19, 34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo in view of Hirata et al.

Regarding claims 6, 12, 16-19, 34, Official Notice is taken of the fact that is notoriously old and well known in the recording medium art to modify the parameters of a recording medium during the course of routine optimization/experimentation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have had the recording medium of Kondo et al in view of Hirata et al with the claimed thicknesses ranges and materials of the different layers.

The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the parameters of the recording medium with the claimed thicknesses ranges and materials of the different layers since such ranges, absent any criticality (i.e., unobvious and/or unexpected results), are generally achievable through routine optimization/experimentation, and since discovering the optimum or workable ranges, where the general conditions of a claim are

Art Unit: 2653

disclosed in the prior art, involves only routine skill in the art, In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). Moreover, in the absence of any criticality (i.e., unobvious and/or unexpected results), the parameters set forth above would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 10, 12, 16-19, 34, 51-52, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/888,093

Art Unit: 2653

Page 6

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel A. Castro whose telephone number is 571-272-7584. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANGEL CASTRO

Angel Castro C

Angel Castro, Ph.D.